At the meeting on September 24, 2003, the Maricopa Association of Governments Regional Council unanimously approved a nearly $16 Billion transportation plan, pending air quality testing. The plan was recommended to the Regional Council by the 22-member Transportation Policy Committee (TPC), which also unanimously approved the plan at its meeting September 17.

The vote culminates the most comprehensive transportation planning effort in the Valley in the past 40 years. The plan is especially significant because it will serve as the foundation for a half-cent sales tax extension expected to go before voters May 18, 2004.

“This is a significant milestone for the region, to have unanimous support to advance a transportation plan to voters that will meet our transportation needs for the next 20 years,” said TPC Chair, Neil Giuliano, mayor of Tempe. “I don’t know if people recognize how historic this is, to have this kind of support. All philosophies and viewpoints were at the table from the very beginning, and there was the potential for this to go another way. There were a lot of potential potholes, so to speak. But we didn’t get caught up in the potholes; we stayed in focus. This is a great day,” he said.

The plan contains $15.8 Billion in transportation projects that span a variety of transportation modes, including new and improved freeways with better access and more capacity, 27.5 miles in new light rail extensions, a tripling of bus service with added routes and less waiting, and improved streets and intersections to help relieve congestion.

Following are just a few of the major plan elements, complete with a percentage breakdown of funding for each mode.

Freeways/Highways = 57% of total regional funding:
- Additional lanes on Loop 101, Loop 202, I-10, I-17, SR 51, and US 60
- New freeways, including Loop 303, an I-10 Reliever, Williams Gateway Parkway and the South Mountain Loop
- New and improved traffic interchanges
- New carpool lanes
- Grand Avenue improvements
- Maintenance (litter control and landscaping)
- Rubberized asphalt and noise mitigation for existing freeways

Transit = 32% of total regional funding (17% bus, 15% rail):
- 27.5 miles of new light rail expansion (beyond the 30 miles already funded)
- A regional bus plan known as a “supergrid.” The supergrid concept includes new or enhanced service on 30 routes, plus the creation of 10 new routes.
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- Improvements to express/bus rapid transit service, including enhancements to 16 existing routes and the creation of 14 new routes
- A doubling of para-transit services for Americans with disabilities
- 32 new passenger facilities (including 20 park-and-ride lots)
- 1,800 new buses and 800 new Dial-a-Ride vehicles
- Commuter rail planning
- Street Improvements = 9% of total regional funds:
  - Northern Parkway
  - Rio Salado Parkway
  - Sonoran Parkway
- Major street and intersection improvements across the Valley
- Intelligent Transportation Systems such as “smart signals” that sense traffic conditions

Other Programs = 2% of total regional funds:
- Safety planning
- Regional planning programs
- Bicycle projects
- Pedestrian facilities
- Programs to reduce travel and improve air quality
- Rideshare programs

The approval by the Regional Council came following the unanimous recommendation by the Transportation Policy Committee which had made amendments to a draft plan approved July 22. Amendments included the addition of $288 Million in arterial projects for the East Valley; $18 Million for right-of-way protection for Jomax Road in the West Valley; $100 Million in right-of-way protection on State Routes 74 and 85 and extensions to the planned Loop 303; $75 Million in neighborhood mitigation/freeway noise reduction projects; and $78 Million for new traffic interchanges on existing freeways, which no longer require a 50 percent local match.

“I have been with this organization for 26 years, and this is the most significant event in the history of the Maricopa Association of Governments,” said MAG Executive Director Dennis Smith. “This is the best transportation plan that has ever been produced in this region. The success of this plan is due to the unique cooperation between local governments and the business community working together.”

For more information, please contact Eric Anderson, MAG Transportation Director, (602) 254-6300.

MAG Transportation Plan Public Meetings

In approving the transportation plan, MAG was responding to a lot of public input. About 500 Valley residents participated in six public meetings/hearings held across the region to get input into the draft Regional Transportation Plan. The events were held in six regional sub-areas, and included a Central, Southwest, Northwest, Surprise/Sun City, Southeast and Northeast meeting. In addition to the public meetings, six business meetings were also held to provide opportunities for input from the business community.

The meetings included an open house with informational displays, a computer presentation on what is in the plan, and an informal question-and-answer period. A court reporter was also available at each location to take formal comment on the plan.

In addition to the six meetings, members of the public provided comments to the plan via the MAG website, through the U.S. mail, via telephone, and at a number of special events hosted by MAG.

Participants at the meetings and events were asked to fill out a six-question survey. Results from the surveys found that about 97 percent of respondents agreed that there is a significant transportation problem in Maricopa County that needs to be addressed. About 78 percent of them were aware that the half-cent sales tax will expire in 2005. About 82 percent of respondents agreed with the level of bus improvements in the plan, about 80 percent with the level of freeway improvements, and 69 percent with the level of light rail improvements. The highest number of respondents, 85 percent, agreed with the level of investments allocated in the plan to streets.

Public comments and staff responses to comments can be found in the final draft stage of the Regional Transportation Plan Input Opportunity Report, available online at www.LetsKeepMoving.com or at the MAG library, 302 N. 1st Avenue, Suite 300, Phoenix.

POLL FINDS STRONG SUPPORT FOR TAX EXTENSION

A telephone poll of 600 registered voters indicates that 75 percent of Maricopa County voters would support the extension of the current half-cent sales tax for transportation, if the election were held today.

Continued on page 3
HAPPY 25TH ANNIVERSARY APA!

On October 1, 2003, APA turned 25, and we’re well on our way to our 100th anniversary in 2009. How will we age so fast?

First, let’s celebrate our 25th anniversary as the American Planning Association. On October 1, 1978, APA emerged from the consolidation of two predecessor organizations, the American Institute of Planners and the American Society of Planning Officials. Both memberships and boards had overwhelmingly approved the consolidation earlier in the year and decided to create a single association “...organized exclusively for charitable, educational, literary and scientific purposes to advance the art and science of planning and the activity of planning—physical, economic and social—at the local, regional, state and national levels...” Within APA would be a professional institute—the American Institute of Certified Planners—that would be responsible for the national certification of professional planners.

This model of a single organization—a “big tent” for everyone interested in planning, with an internal institute to advance the interests of the profession—was a unique model at the time and has attracted great interest from around the world. The planning movement has been well served by APA. We have grown from an organization of 13,000 to more than 33,000 national members; more than 14,000 of us are certified planners. We’ll be celebrating all year, and we especially hope that you will join your colleagues at our National Planning Conference in Washington, D.C., next April for the real birthday bash.

Now, how are we about to turn 100? While our two predecessor organizations were incorporated in 1917 and 1934, we actually trace our roots back to 1909 and the first National Conference on City Planning in Washington, D.C. From that and subsequent conferences, the organized planning movement emerged, first through our two predecessor organizations and, since 1978, through APA. So come to Washington to celebrate our 25th anniversary next April but get ready to commemorate our centennial in 2009!

W. Paul Farmer, AICP
Executive Director
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The poll was conducted by Behavior Research Center in August. The support was strong within all geographic areas of the Valley. The poll also found that awareness of the expiration of the half-cent sales tax for transportation has risen since a similar poll was conducted in December, from 27 percent to 31 percent.

Voters were also asked to rate their overall transportation spending priorities. Freeway, major street improvements and bus service were the highest-rated priorities, with six to seven in ten giving each a high priority. Light rail and Dial-a-Ride services received high priority ratings from 45 percent and 39 percent, respectively, while another fifth to a third rated each as “moderate” priorities. Voters also showed support for regional improvements, with 89 percent reporting that they favor a transportation system that improves how people get around the entire Valley, and only nine percent favoring one that improves how people get around their area of the Valley.

When asked the likelihood of utilizing various transportation modes in the future, 94 percent of respondents indicated they or a member of their family would use freeways, 55 percent indicated they would use light rail, 49 percent bus service, and 25 percent Dial-a-Ride.

Even when presented with arguments both for and against individual transportation modes, support for the tax extension remained high.

The primary reasons voters gave for supporting the sales tax extension were that the overall transportation system in the Valley needs to be improved or kept current, improvements are needed to keep up with Valley growth, there is a need for more or updated freeways, and there is a need to reduce traffic congestion.

For more information, please contact Kelly Taft, MAG Communications Manager, (602) 254-6300.
I hope everyone enjoyed the annual state conference and the opportunity to network with other professional and citizen planners. It was great to see such a good turn out. Jon Froke and his committee did a fantastic job. My thanks to them again and to all of the speakers who volunteered their time and expertise.

I attended the Chapter President’s Council (CPC) fall meetings in mid-September in Chicago. As I noted in my last message, the pressing issues were mandatory education for AICP, the APA budget, membership expansion, chapter needs, and the business plan.

Mandatory continuing education has been a discussion point for years now. The chapter presidents want to move the issue forward. A roll call vote was taken at the CPC meeting with unanimous approval to support mandatory continuing education. Why has this been such a debated issue and no program established yet? The AICP Commission has not been in favor of the mandatory continuing education. This has been part of the roadblock in getting a program underway. However, the CPC in conjunction with APA and the AICP commission initiated a continuing education pilot program, which ran from January 2002 to July 2003. Three chapters participated in the program—New Jersey, Ohio, and Western Central. A one-page preliminary report on issues and findings was prepared and is available for review. Participants did not feel it was a true test as it was not mandatory. General findings are that more research needs to be done.

Some of the key issues that have been raised that keep a program from becoming an integral part of doing business are the following:

- The program should be for all planners and not just AICP
- It is hard for people in rural areas to get to training
- Running a program is time consuming

Kentucky and Tennessee have state law mandating continuing education for planners, particularly citizen board members. A concern of other chapter presidents is that other states will follow and pass law guiding how we should run our continuing education. General consensus is that we would rather set up our own programs without legislative assistance.

I was going to do an article on what your state and national dues are used for. I started with the state, but did not quite have a handle on the national. The budget for the national organization was a big discussion point at the September CPC meetings. Concern from a number of presidents is that we do not see the entire budget and thus cannot explain to our members where the money is going. A motion was made by Texas and Utah at the CPC business meeting regarding the budget. It read as follows:

“We move for a positive recommendation with conditions and a recommendation from the CPC for the approval of the budget by the Board of Directors. We are pleased with the improvements and efforts by the board and staff to more clearly relate the budget to the priorities.

Conditions:
1. Continue to strive to more strongly link the budget to the Development Plan
2. All future budget efforts will work in the spirit of inclusiveness as defined in yesterday’s budget presentation:
   a. Good budget practices should foster collaboration and exchange of information among a wide variety of groups
   b. The approved budget should clearly and effectively communicate priorities, goals and operational plans to the entire organization
3. CPC shall have earlier budget input
4. Future budget summaries need to directly demonstrate sources of revenues and expenditures. Expenditures need to clearly spell out salaries, rent, overhead, programs, membership services, etc.
5. Future budget information needs to be presented in a manner useful for the membership

Recommendation:
1. The budget allocation to programs and services needs to give distinct breakdown categories.”

I will continue to monitor

The budget will be discussed again at the spring CPC meetings in Washington D.C. I hope to then be able to follow-up with an article on a service breakdown
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SUPPORT FOR MANDATORY CONTINUING EDUCATION

By Jack E. Molenaar AICP/PP

Planners involved in the leadership of APA at the national level have been debating, fairly regularly, the issue of mandatory versus voluntary continuing education for AICP members over the past four years. This debate resulted in a “pilot” mandatory continuing education program for three chapters: New Jersey, Ohio and Western Central. The program that was tested has not done well, mostly because of the paper work that was required of the volunteer officers of the chapters. How can a pilot be mandatory without any sticks? It has been pointed out by more than a few that a mandatory pilot is an oxymoron, but that is a story for another article. To understand why anyone would want any mandatory education program you really have to ask the question, “What are we trying to accomplish by imposing mandatory education on our members?”

As a former two-term president and two-term treasurer of the New Jersey chapter, I have seen the seedy side of trying to set up programs, trying to break even on costs all without burning out volunteers within our chapter. Anyone who works in, or with, nonprofits knows it is a daunting experience, and you will burn out if not for the occasional meeting at the local watering hole. So why are we expending so much energy on a program that seems to require so much additional effort on the part of our members? What are we trying to accomplish within the dark rooms of APA leadership? What problem(s) are we trying to solve?

The problems I have been trying to solve have been the lack of good affordable professional development opportunities for all our members, both non-AICP and AICP, and the lack of involvement of the typical member in their own organization. I believe that these two issues are intricately linked. In order to have more quality affordable opportunities for all APA members you need people to organize and run them. This means using volunteers, especially if we want programs to be affordable. The Chapter Presidents Council of APA (representing 46 chapters around the country) has discussed and set as a priority the issue of affordability and access to more and better programs because at the chapter level, that is what we deal with regularly. How do we get educational programs to members that may be cut off from the rest of us because of a lack of funds, or even by physical distance? Remember, that any program that is created has to work as well in New York City as it does in Montana.

Another problem with a continuing education program is the “education” label itself. How do we determine what programs should be allowed for “credit,” AICP or otherwise? As an organization that has policy statements or papers on many planning related issues, this goes to the heart of ‘What is Planning?’ question. I will not even attempt to cover that beast in this article.

After looking at the issues and the problems that need to be solved in order to make a stronger organization of better-informed, well-rounded planners, I have come to the conclusion that mandatory continuing education is the wrong solution. That is why I propose a program of mandatory professional development. This is a slight difference but a critical one. We are a profession with many faces and hats. It is very difficult to be a planner without knowing a little bit about everything. How do we learn anyway? I believe that we learn not just from sitting in a class or attending a conference. We learn from talking with each other over a cup of coffee or a beer, from discussing issues with residents at a public meeting, from helping out other organizations or community groups, from running a conference, from advocating for changes in our own state’s planning related legislation, from lobbying for positive or not-so-positive change, from mentoring students at all levels of the educational ladder, etc. If we look at education/learning more globally, it automatically leads us to professional development and isn’t that what we should be encouraging of any planner with the AICP designation? Do we really want planners with that designation stuck in their offices for years without any contact with another planner? It’s time to drag these planners out of their offices and bring them back into the family of planners. It’s time to pull together as an organization and help each other push the planning agenda to the forefront so we can all move forward—together.

Obviously voluntary requirements (oxymoron anyone?) don’t cut it. We have been testing voluntary compliance since 1979; I think we can safely say that we need some changes. Why not make a new program that is both simpler and self-reporting? Every year APA asks us to be honest when reporting the salary range we fall into during dues time. Has anyone from APA called you to check up on your salary level to ensure that you are being honest? Of course not, it would be ridiculously expensive and
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In the last newsletter we reported on two collaborative projects that have brought members of AzPA, ASLA, and AIA together for planning and design sessions. The first focuses on visioning and redevelopment strategies for Summerhaven, the mountain community that was nearly destroyed by the Aspen Fire. Led by the local chapter of AIA, a series of events in August and September (visioning open house, surveys, design workshops) have led to community-wide meetings on alternative master plans for the Summerhaven business district. The participation of the planning community (a pro bono effort) has resulted in broadening the discussion to include regional recreation, transportation, and economic issues. The draft vision statement that grew out of this collaboration suggests that although the mountain will never be quite the same, Summerhaven is a treasure that will be restored.

The other interdisciplinary effort with AIA, ASLA, the Sonoran Institute, and the Tucson-Pima Arts Council is focused on the challenge of how to encourage and promote quality site planning and design. Initial tasks include finding a jazziier name for our working group (currently “Community Design Coalition”), and developing a mission statement and a preliminary program of events for the upcoming year. Stay tuned or get involved and send us your name suggestions.

**OTHER UPCOMING EVENTS**

**November 12th:** AzPA will co-host a planners roundtable and public forum with the Growing Smarter Oversight Council (GSOC). The roundtable will coincide with the GSOC quarterly meeting to be held in Tucson and will focus on best practices and potential refinements to Growing Smarter mandates.

**December 18th:** Annual Business Meeting and Year End Social. New board members will be introduced.

---

**CLEANING THE SELF-CLEANING MILE**

*By Colleen Stoetzel, AICP*

Six dedicated Southern Section members gathered one late September morning to clean the Arizona Planning Association’s ADOT mile. We were in good spirits; our Adopt-A-Mile location was on the way to Arizona’s beautiful wine county, and we believed our roadway was “self-cleaning.” The views were indeed scenic; however, twenty bags of trash later (and very sweaty brows), we learned just how well trash hides in the overgrowth. We were thanked by many cyclists and Harley riders, which made the nasty smells, heat and getting dirty seem less unpleasant. After all that work, we rewarded ourselves with lunch in Sonoita and a wine tasting at a local vineyard. Thanks again to Wacky Redzar, Barbara Becker, Grace Evans, Robin Valenzuela, and Mark Schnaufer for taking time out your weekend to help out.
time consuming with no benefit. Why can’t we create a system of self-reporting annually of the learning activities in which each member has been involved over the past year? APA staff would only audit a set number of members each year to check on its validity. If you don’t meet the requirements or if you are dishonest about what you actually did, then there would be sticks. This is a very general concept, and the details of what should be considered a learning activity should be debated and kicked around. This is where you come in.

I strongly believe that our profession needs more learning opportunities and more involvement of its members in its own organization. I have offered one proposal to meet these two problems. What is your solution? Add your voice to this important discussion and let’s come up with a program that is of high quality and low cost for all planners in APA! Let’s not leave any planners behind!
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for your dues—state and national.

I would really like to see e-mails from the membership on your opinions of the mandatory education program and budget issues, so I can take those thoughts with me to the leadership meetings.

Don’t forget, your Arizona Board of Directors will hold its annual retreat December 12, 2003. This is the time where incoming board members familiarize themselves with the organization’s administration, and goals are set for the next year. Let the board know about the things you like or do not like about the organization, so we can discuss these issues at the retreat. Our phone numbers and e-mail addresses are available on the AzPA web site and in this newsletter.
So what are the top 10 planning web sites you should check out? Rich Carson has provided us with his list for 2003. Rich is a planning director in the Portland-Vancouver metropolitan area. He also manages the American Planning Association’s “Planning Publication’s List” on the Internet and is an Internet editor for the “Urban Studies” category for the LookSmart directory. The directory is used by LookSmart, MSN, Excite, AltaVista, Time Warner and more than 370 Internet service providers and reaches 77% of all Internet users.

**Smart City Radio (www.smartcityradio.com).** This is the web site for the National Public Radio show “Smart City.” The show recently went national and Carol Coletta is the host. Carol does a weekly interview with people involved in all aspects of land use planning. You can listen to her broadcast through your computer and subscribe to her e-newsletter.

**Urbanicity (www.urbanicity.org).** This is another new site and it is one of the most comprehensive international planning web sites on the Internet. Urbanicity’s stated mission is to “explore aspects of urban management.” The site is extensive and has a magazine and lots of information including feature articles, case studies, technology papers and an e-news.

**Planum (www.planum.net).** This European planning web site is billed as an “e-zine promoted by journals, associations of planners, academic and research institutes.” Planum has a journal and information on events, news and has essays on a variety of topics.

**Cyburia (www.cyburia/).** This is the Internet’s oldest portal site for urban planners and others interested in cities and the built environment. It includes information about mailing lists and newsgroups, and it hosts a very active bulletin board and image-hosting gallery.

**Planetizen (www.planetizen.com).** This is the premier web site in terms of delivering current planning news to your computer. The site also has information on jobs, runs essays and announcements, and has many other planning resources.

**About Planning (www.aboutplanning.org).** This is an Internet clearing house for information about web sites, publications, essays and news related to land use planning, growth management, comprehensive planning, smart growth and new urbanism. The Great Planning Quotes section is especially useful.

**American Planning Association (www.planning.org).** This is the official web site for the American Planning Association and focuses on information for the planning professional. It also has a variety of planning publications like Planning Magazine, upcoming planning events and lots of topics.

**Planning Report (www.ablinc.net/tpr).** This web site bills itself as “the insider’s guide to growth management.” It primarily features interviews with people active in the world of land use planning. The folks interviewed are planners, politicians and developers who have an opinion about the planning profession or planning projects. It also has an e-newsletter you can subscribe to.

**PlannersWeb (www.plannersweb.com).** This is the official web site for the “Planning Commissioner’s Journal” magazine. However, it has a lot of great planning resource information for the planning professional, including reports, planning links, and even a comic strip.

**Smart Growth America (www.smartgrowthamerica.com).** This is a coalition of nearly 100 advocacy organizations that have a stake in how metropolitan expansion affects our environment, quality of life and economic sustainability. The web site provides information on a variety of planning topics.

Rich also notes that he did not list the three largest Internet directories, but that they are especially useful when searching for planning information. Each directory has a separate urban planning category. They are:

- LookSmart/Zeal (2.6 million web links) www.looksmart.com/eus317916/eus53711/eus53762/rhl&
- Open Directory Project (2.5 million web links)–<http://dmoz.org/Science/Social_Sciences/Urban_and_Regional_Planning/>
- Yahoo (1.8 million web links) <http://dir.yahoo.com/Social_Science/Urban_Studies/>dir.yahoo.com/Social_Science/Urban_Studies

You can contact Rich Carson at richardcarson@qwest.net.
Lessons Learned from Growing Smarter Mandated Plan Updates
A Roundtable with Professional Planners
Wednesday, November 12, 2003
Randolph Clubhouse • 600 South Alvernon Way • Tucson, Arizona

SPONSORED BY Arizona Planning Association Southern Section
In conjunction with the Growing Smarter Oversight Council and the City of Tucson

ROUNDTABLE
Professional planners from around Arizona who participated in the update of general plans (for cities and towns) and comprehensive plans (for counties) are invited to a discussion, which will focus on the following:

- Best practices that evolved during the plan approval process, particularly with respect to public involvement and resolution of key policy issues;
- Resources needed to complete future plan approval processes;
- Role of elected officials and community stakeholders in planning;
- Suggestions for revisions to Growing Smarter (I and II) legislation.

Registration Fee: $35 for AzPA Members, $45 for Non-members
(Includes Continental Breakfast, Lunch and Snack)
Note: The Community Open House at 5 p.m. is free and open to the public.

OUTCOMES
The roundtable is planned to coincide with the regular quarterly meeting of the Growing Smarter Oversight Council (GSOS) to be held concurrently at the same location. The council, which was created by an executive order signed by the governor in February 2001, is responsible for overseeing the implementation of the Growing Smarter mandates. The roundtable will include a media release and potential press conference that will focus on the benefits of effective planning in Arizona.

The roundtable will document the feedback gathered from the participants and provide it in a form that can be shared with elected officials and other stakeholders who play a role in planning the future development of Arizona.

The results of the roundtable will be reported to the community at a public open house the evening of November 12, 2003. A speaker with expertise in regional planning will make opening remarks and act as moderator for this public open house.

SPONSORSHIP
The Southern Section of the Arizona Planning Association is sponsoring the roundtable in conjunction with the Growing Smarter Oversight Committee and the City of Tucson’s Comprehensive Planning Task Force.

AGENDA

9:30 – 10 a.m. Registration and Continental Breakfast (Clubhouse)
10:00 a.m. Welcome and Greeting — Tucson City Manager James Keene (Clubhouse)
10:15 a.m. Review Agenda for the Day (Clubhouse)
   MORNING SESSIONS – Documenting the Input from Planners
   AFTERNOON SESSIONS – Conclusions and Suggestions for Changes
10:20 a.m. Best Practices – “What Went Well” (Meeting Room)
   DISCUSSION FOCUS: Innovative and effective approaches
11:00 a.m. Resources – “How We Got it Done” (Meeting Room)
   DISCUSSION FOCUS: What resources are needed (that were not available)
11:30 a.m. Community Stakeholders – “Who Impacted the Process” (Meeting Room)
   DISCUSSION FOCUS: Who filled what roles and did they add value to the plan?
12:00 noon Lunch Provided (Clubhouse)
   Members of the GSOC will join the group for lunch
12:30 p.m. Perspectives on Growing Smarter Legislation (Meeting Room)
   DISCUSSION FOCUS: Political realities and possible changes to GS legislation
1:30 p.m. Summary/Findings/Thank You to Participants (Meeting Room)
   Report on feedback gathered during the roundtable
2:00 p.m. Growing Smarter Oversight Council - Regular Meeting (Clubhouse)
4:30 p.m. Potential News Conference (Clubhouse)
   Provide news release with written summary of feedback
5:30 p.m. Community Open House (Clubhouse)
   Moderator will lead overview of roundtable summary/findings
6:15 p.m. Questions for Panel: GSOC and Planners (Clubhouse)
   Opportunity for questions from the public
7:00 p.m. Adjourn
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A Roundtable with Professional Planners
Wednesday, November 12, 2003
Randolph Clubhouse • 600 South Alvernon Way • Tucson, Arizona

SPONSORED BY Arizona Planning Association Southern Section
In conjunction with the Growing Smarter Oversight Council and the City of Tucson

Registration Fee: $35 for AzPA Members, $45 for Non-members
(Includes Continental Breakfast, Lunch and Snack)
Note: The Community Open House at 5 p.m. is free and open to the public.

Please return registration form and check made payable to:
Arizona Planning Association
10410 N. 31st Avenue, Suite 405
Phoenix, Arizona 85051
Fax: (602) 789-9126

You may fax your registration form to:
(602) 789-9126

All faxed registrations will be due and payable unless canceled by November 10, 2003.

REGISTRATION INFORMATION
(includes Continental Breakfast, Lunch and Snack)
AzPA Members – $35  □  Non–AzPA Members – $45

Name ___________________________ Company/Organization ___________________________
Address __________________________
City/Town __________________ State ____________ Zip __________________
Phone ____________________ Fax ____________ E-mail __________________

METHOD OF PAYMENT: □ Check  □ Credit Card (VISA/MC only)  □ Member  □ Non–AzPA Member

Credit Card # __________________ Exp. Date __________________ Print name as it appears on card
Signature __________________________

REGISTRATION DEADLINE
November 10, 2003

Cancellation Clause:
Written cancellations will be accepted if postmarked/faxed prior to November 10, 2003. No refunds will be given for cancellations after November 10, 2003.

For more information call:
AzPA Office • (602) 866-7188
MAKING GREAT COMMUNITIES HAPPEN

CHAPTER MEMBERSHIP ONLY
Includes chapter publications & entitles you to participate in all chapter activities.
I want to join the Arizona chapter (dues $36).

PAYMENT
Amount due $________
Payment enclosed.

MAIL TO:
American Planning Association
Lock Box 97774
Chicago, IL 60678-7774
312-431-9100
For Chapter Membership only, mail to:
Arizona Planning Association
10410 N. 31st Avenue, Suite 405
Phoenix, AZ 85051

STUDENT STATUS VERIFICATION
I certify that the student named on this form is currently enrolled as a full-time student.
Signature of department head, professor, or registrar
School/Date

PLANNING BOARD VERIFICATION
I verify that I am a current member of
Name of board or commission
and I do not earn my living in planning.
Signature/Date

NATIONAL & CHAPTER MEMBERSHIP
Includes full chapter membership; Planning magazine each month; support for APA’s policy and public information programs; and discounts on books, reports, national APA conferences and workshops, and group insurance programs.
I want to join National APA and the Arizona chapter. Check the dues category that applies:
Member. National dues (from table below) $_____ plus $36 chapter dues. Enter total under PAYMENT.
Student member. Dues $42* (national dues $37; chapter dues $5)
Planning board member. Dues $65* (national dues $56; chapter dues $9)
*Verification of status required

NATIONAL DUES TABLE
Check the range that includes your salary plus other profession-related income. Records are confidential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salary Range</th>
<th>Dues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $20,000</td>
<td>$ 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000 - $24,999</td>
<td>$ 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 - $28,999</td>
<td>$104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$29,000 - $31,999</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$32,000 - $34,999</td>
<td>$118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35,000 - $37,999</td>
<td>$126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$38,000 - $41,999</td>
<td>$130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$42,000 - $44,999</td>
<td>$135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$45,000 - $49,999</td>
<td>$141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 - $54,999</td>
<td>$147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$55,000 - $59,999</td>
<td>$158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,000 - $64,999</td>
<td>$167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$65,000 - $69,000</td>
<td>$175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$70,000 and over</td>
<td>$186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You may pay $187 flat dues, plus chapter dues

Join APA Today!

NAME & HOME MAILING ADDRESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Mr.</th>
<th>Ms.</th>
<th>Mrs.</th>
<th>Miss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Home Address

City
State
ZIP

Home Phone Number

Preferred E-mail Address

EMPLOYER MAILING ADDRESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employer Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Employer Address
Suite No.

City
State
ZIP

Office Phone Number
Fax Number

Please tell us where to send correspondence from APA.
Send invoices to: Home Office
Send publications and other mail to: Home Office

Revised 10/00
ADVERTISING RATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Rate/Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Page</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half Page</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insert Flyer</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter Page</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Card</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Full Page 7.5" x 10"
Half Page 7.5" x 5"
Quarter Page 3.5" x 5"
Business Card 3.5" x 2"

In our efforts to preserve the environment, this newsletter has been printed on recycled paper and utilizes non-petroleum based ink.
Arizona Planning is a statewide publication of the Arizona Planning Association, a Chapter of the American Planning Association. As the primary communications tool of the State Chapter, this newsletter serves a vital role in the planning community. All APA members and other persons interested in planning are invited to participate by writing articles or submitting graphics. In addition to "Point of View" and stories about planning, we solicit "Network" pieces, job ads, letters to the editor, photos, maps or drawings that relate to planning.
FEATURED IN THIS ISSUE
Regional Transportation Plan Approved 1
Happy 25th Anniversary APA! 3
President’s Message 4
Support for Mandatory Continuing Education 5
Southern Section News 6
Cleaning the Self-Cleaning Mile 6
2003’s Top 10 Planning Web Sites 8
Lessons Learned from Growing Smarter Mandated Plan Updates (PD Workshop) 9

2003-2004 Arizona Planning Association • Important Dates to Remember

NOVEMBER
12 Planners Roundtable with GROC, Tucson
28 Newsletter Article Deadline

DECEMBER
12 AzPA Board of Directors Annual Retreat
18 Southern Section Annual Business Meeting and Year End Social

JANUARY 2004
7 AICP Application Deadline

TO PUBLISH
Articles should not exceed four pages, single spaced, 12 point font, formatted in Word Perfect or MS Word. Be sure to spell check your article. Graphics are encouraged: tables and charts saved as either Excel or Lotus (*.xls or *.wk4); pictures and maps saved in bitmap, tiff or eps format (*.bmp, *.tif, or *.eps). Submissions should be e-mailed or sent on 3.5" disk to the newsletter editor, co-editor, or staff administrator. Please include your name, title, organization, phone number, and e-mail address.